

T-Duality and Effective D-Brane Actions

Joan Simón

Departament ECM, Facultat de Física, Universitat de Barcelona and Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: jsimon@ecm.ub.es.

T-duality realized on D-brane effective actions is studied from a pure worldvolume point of view. It is proved that invariance in the form of the Dirac-Born-Infeld and Wess-Zumino terms fixes the T-duality transformations of the NS-NS and R-R background fields, respectively. The analysis is extended to uncover the mapping of global symmetries of the corresponding pair of D-branes involved in the transformation.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk, 11.25.-w

Keywords: T-duality, D-branes, Dirac-Born-Infeld actions, global symmetries

T-duality is known to be a perturbative symmetry of string theory [1]. It states that a string compactified on a torus with spacelike radius R and string coupling constant g is equivalent to a string compactified on a torus with spacelike radius α'/R and string coupling constant $g\sqrt{\alpha'}/R$. It is believed to be an exact symmetry of the whole string theory, that is, including the non-perturbative sector.

One of the evidences in favour of this conjecture is the realization of T-duality on Dirichlet p -brane effective actions (Dp -branes) [2]. The final conclusion in [2] was that the double dimensional reduction of the Dp -brane action yields the direct dimensional reduction of the $D(p-1)$ -brane action. This approach was based on the T-duality rules mapping type IIA/IIB backgrounds given in [3], which were derived due to the existence of two inequivalent embeddings of $N = 2, D = 9$ supergravity into the corresponding ten dimensional ones.

The derivation of the T-duality mapping rules for the NS-NS sector using pure worldvolume techniques was achieved in [4], where they gauged the compact isometry in a non-linear sigma model describing the propagation of a fundamental string in a curved background. It seems rather natural to consider, instead, Dp -brane effective actions, because not only they couple to the NS-NS sector but also minimally to the whole R-R sector.

Dp -branes admit a CFT description within open string theory [5]. The dimensionality of their worldvolume depends on the number of scalar fields $(9-p)$ satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions (b. c.). Since Dirichlet b. c. are transformed to Neumann b. c. under T-duality [6], $D(p+1)$ -branes are T-dual to Dp -branes. Since the dynamics of all Dp -branes is described by,

$$S_p = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})} + T_p \int e^{\mathcal{F}} \wedge C \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu x^M \partial_\nu x^N g_{MN}$ is the worldvolume induced metric and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu x^M \partial_\nu x^N B_{MN}$, the above discussion suggests that T-duality rules mapping type

IIA/IIB supergravity backgrounds must be encoded in the Dirac-Born-Infeld term (DBI), for the NS-NS sector, and in the Wess-Zumino term (WZ) for the R-R sector.

It will be proven that requiring invariance in the form of the DBI and WZ terms fixes the T-duality transformations of the NS-NS and R-R background fields, respectively. In particular, the complete set of transformations for the R-R potentials will be determined for an arbitrary target space background, generalizing previous results in the literature. The methods developed in this proof might be useful to treat the kappa symmetric case, in order to derive the mapping rules of the fermionic supergravity sector, and to realize timelike and spacetime signature changing T-duality transformations [7] on exotic D-brane worldvolume effective actions.

The mentioned analysis refers to effective actions. It is extended to uncover the global symmetries of these effective actions. The mapping relating the original infinitesimal transformations with the T-dual ones is found under certain sufficient conditions. We comment on the physical interpretation of this result.

T-duality from Born-Infeld invariance. Consider a Dp -brane propagating in an arbitrary type II supergravity background. Its bosonic degrees of freedom are $\{\phi^i\} = \{x^M, V_\mu\}$, where x^M are the target space coordinates, $M = 0, 1 \dots 9$ and V_μ are the components of the 1-form worldvolume gauge field, $\mu = 0, 1 \dots p$.

Given the field content, one must specify the set of global and gauge symmetries that leaves the corresponding action invariant. Let us write down the infinitesimal transformations of the fields $\{\phi^i\}$ associated with both types of symmetries :

$$s x^M = \xi^\nu \partial_\nu x^M + \Delta x^M \quad (2)$$

$$s V_\mu = \xi^\nu \partial_\nu V_\mu + V_\nu \partial_\mu \xi^\nu + \partial_\mu c + \Delta V_\mu \quad (3)$$

where s is a generalized worldvolume BRST operator, ξ^ν are diffeomorphism ghosts, c an abelian $U(1)$ ghost, and $\Delta\phi^i$ stands for the infinitesimal set of independent global transformations.

All Dp -branes possess the above structure, differing just in the supergravity background (IIA or IIB) and in

the worldvolume dimension. The $D(p+1)$ -brane effective action can be mapped to the Dp -brane one, if one dynamical component of the initial gauge field is identified with a scalar in the T-dual Dp -brane, as a necessary condition to match the different physical degrees of freedom described by both D -branes.

The latter discussion suggests demanding the conditions of a double dimensional reduction, as the worldvolume dimension must be effectively reduced by one. This mechanism is equivalent to a partial gauge fixing of the worldvolume diffeomorphisms ($z = \rho$) combined with a functional truncation $\partial_\rho x^m = \partial_\rho V_\mu = 0$, where the original x^M scalar fields and the worldvolume coordinates σ^μ were splitted into $\{x^m, z\}$ and $\{\sigma^{\hat{\mu}}, \rho\}$, respectively.

In order to apply the above procedure, it is necessary to work with a lagrangian density independent of the z coordinate in the subspace of field configurations defined by the truncation. This is ensured by assuming the existence of an isometry along the z direction in the background where the $D(p+1)$ -brane is propagating, that is there exists $\Delta z = \epsilon$, $\Delta x^m = 0$, $\Delta V_\mu = 0$ among the set of global symmetries $\{\Delta\phi^i\}$.

If the functional truncation is extended to the ghosts $\xi^{\hat{\mu}}$ and the space of rigid transformations is restricted to the subspace defined by $\partial_z \Delta x^M = \partial_z \Delta V_\mu = 0$, the consistency conditions requiring the infinitesimal transformations not to move our field configurations from the subspace defined by the truncation and partial gauge fixing ($\partial_z s\phi^i|_{g.f.+trunc} = 0$), determines

$$c(\sigma^{\hat{\mu}}, \rho) = \tilde{c}(\sigma^{\hat{\mu}}) + a + \frac{\epsilon'}{2\pi\alpha'}\rho \quad (4)$$

where a, ϵ' are constants, and ϵ' has mass dimension minus one. The corresponding transformations that leave invariant the partially gauge fixed truncated action become

$$\tilde{s}x^m = \xi^{\hat{\nu}}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^m + \tilde{\Delta}x^m \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{s}V_{\hat{\mu}} = \xi^{\hat{\nu}}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}V_{\hat{\mu}} + V_{\hat{\nu}}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\xi^{\hat{\nu}} + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{c} + \tilde{\Delta}V_{\hat{\mu}} \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{s}V_\rho = \xi^{\hat{\nu}}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}V_\rho + \tilde{\Delta}V_\rho \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}V_{\hat{\mu}} = \Delta V_{\hat{\mu}} - V_\rho\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\Delta z$, $\tilde{\Delta}V_\rho = \Delta V_\rho + \frac{\epsilon'}{2\pi\alpha'}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}x^m$ satisfies $\partial_z \tilde{\Delta}x^m = 0$.

From (7), not only V_ρ becomes a scalar for the partially gauge fixed action, which will be identified with a new target space coordinate (defined in the T-dual space-time), $(2\pi\alpha')V_\rho \equiv \tilde{z}$, but also the isometry along the $\tilde{z}$ direction is generated as a residue of the original $U(1)$ gauge symmetry $(\epsilon')^1$.

¹ Here, the sufficient conditions have been given to derive this isometry, but a more general analysis would be interesting to be performed, due to the big symmetry structure of these effective actions.

Once the matching between bosonic degrees of freedom has been done, we move to prove that invariance of the Dirac-Born-Infeld term fixes the T-duality transformations of the NS-NS sector. Working on the partially gauge fixed truncated subspace of configurations, the following identities can be derived :

$$\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\rho} = \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\bar{g}_{mz} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rho\rho} = \bar{g}_{zz} \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = \mathcal{G}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^n(\bar{g}_{mn} - g'_{mn}) - \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}\tilde{z}g'_{\tilde{z}m} - \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{z}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^{\tilde{M}}g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{M}} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\rho} = \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{z} - \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\bar{B}_{mz} \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = \mathcal{F}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} - \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^n(\bar{B}_{mn} - B'_{mn}) + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{z}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^nB'_{\tilde{z}n} + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}\tilde{z}B'_{m\tilde{z}} \quad (12)$$

where barred fields refer to our original background and primed fields to dual backgrounds, and $\{\tilde{M}\} = \{m, \tilde{z}\}$ are the indices of the dual target space.

The elements of the matrix whose determinant appears in the kinetic term of the Born-Infeld action can be computed from the above identities. Its determinant is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}) &= \bar{g}_{zz} \det[\mathcal{G}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \mathcal{F}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \\ &+ \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^n[(\bar{g}_{mn} - g'_{mn}) - (\bar{B}_{mn} - B'_{mn}) \\ &- (\bar{g}_{mz} - \bar{B}_{mz})(\bar{g}_{nz} + \bar{B}_{nz})(\bar{g}_{zz})^{-1}] \\ &- \partial_{\hat{\mu}}x^m\partial_{\hat{\nu}}\tilde{z}[(g'_{m\tilde{z}} - B'_{m\tilde{z}}) - (\bar{g}_{mz} - \bar{B}_{mz})(\bar{g}_{zz})^{-1}] \\ &- \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{z}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}x^n[(g'_{\tilde{z}n} + B'_{\tilde{z}n}) + (\bar{g}_{nz} + \bar{B}_{nz})(\bar{g}_{zz})^{-1}] \\ &- \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\tilde{z}\partial_{\hat{\nu}}\tilde{z}(g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} - \frac{1}{\bar{g}_{zz}})] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We will require the DBI action to equal $-T_{p-1} \int d^p\sigma e^{-\phi'} \sqrt{-\det(\mathcal{G}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \mathcal{F}'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})}$. Since the starting DBI lagrangian density was assumed to be independent of ρ , the integration over this direction can be performed, so that $T_{p-1} = T_p L_z$, which is the usual equation relating two D-brane tensions connected by a longitudinal T-duality transformation. From the lagrangian density itself, we get a set of six sufficient constraints, which are obtained by functional independence and taking symmetric and antisymmetric parts when necessary. Its solution, given below, coincides with the usual T-duality rules mapping the bosonic NS-NS sector of type IIA supergravity to IIB or viceversa:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{zz} &= 1/g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} \\ \bar{\phi} &= \phi' - \frac{1}{2} \log |g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}}| \\ \bar{B}_{nz} &= -g'_{n\tilde{z}}/g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} \\ \bar{g}_{nz} &= -B'_{n\tilde{z}}/g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} \\ \bar{g}_{mn} &= g'_{mn} - (g'_{m\tilde{z}}g'_{n\tilde{z}} - B'_{m\tilde{z}}B'_{n\tilde{z}})/g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} \\ \bar{B}_{mn} &= B'_{mn} - (B'_{m\tilde{z}}g'_{n\tilde{z}} - B'_{n\tilde{z}}g'_{m\tilde{z}})/g'_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us concentrate on the R-R sector. The Wess-Zumino lagrangian density satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = d\rho \wedge i_{\partial_\rho} \mathcal{L}_{WZ}$, which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = d\rho \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}^-} \wedge (i_{\partial_\rho} C + i_{\partial_\rho} \mathcal{F} \wedge C^-) \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^- \equiv i_{\partial_\rho}(d\rho \wedge \mathcal{F})$, $i_{\partial_\rho} \Omega_{(n)} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \Omega_{\rho\mu_2 \dots \mu_n} d\sigma^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots d\sigma^{\mu_n}$ and $i_{\partial_\rho}(\Omega_{(m)} \wedge \Omega_{(n)}) = i_{\partial_\rho} \Omega_{(m)} \wedge \Omega_{(n)} + (-1)^m \Omega_{(m)} \wedge i_{\partial_\rho} \Omega_{(n)}$.

As we already know the T-duality properties of the two form $\mathcal{F}$, which were fixed by the kinetic term,

$$T : \mathcal{F}^- \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' - i_{\partial_z} B' \wedge i_{\partial_z} g' / g'_{z\bar{z}} \quad (16)$$

$$T : i_{\partial_\rho} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow -i_{\partial_z} g' / g'_{z\bar{z}} \quad (17)$$

we can apply a T-duality transformation to (15), and demand its transformed to equal $T_{p-1} \int_{\partial M} e^{\mathcal{F}'} \wedge C'$. In this way, not only $T_{p-1} = T_p L_z$ is satisfied, but the following condition is obtained for the pullback of an arbitrary p-form :

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^p C'_{(p)} &= i_{\partial_\rho} \overline{C}_{(p+1)} - \frac{i_{\partial_z} B' \wedge i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \wedge i_{\partial_\rho} \overline{C}_{(p-1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \wedge \overline{C}_{(p-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the factor $(-1)^p$ is due to our conventions combined with $\epsilon^{\bar{\mu}_1 \dots \bar{\mu}_p} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \rho}$ and $\epsilon^{01 \dots p} = 1$.

In view of the relation $dz = d\rho$, the $\pm$ components of the pullbacked worldvolume forms appearing in (18) can be lifted to $\pm$ components of the space-time forms. Its corresponding solution is given by the following pair of expressions :

$$i_{\partial_z} \overline{C}_{(p+1)} = (-1)^p \left(C'_{(p)} - \frac{i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \wedge i_{\partial_z} C'_{(p)} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_{(p-1)} &= (-1)^{(p-1)} \left(i_{\partial_z} C'_{(p)} - i_{\partial_z} B' \wedge \left(C'_{(p-2)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \wedge i_{\partial_z} C'_{(p-2)} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

which are the T-duality rules for the R-R sector. In components, equations (19) and (20) read as follows :

$$\overline{C}_{m_1 \dots m_p z}^{(p+1)} = C'_{m_1 \dots m_p}^{(p)} - p C'_{[m_1 \dots m_{p-1} z}^{(p)} \frac{g'_{m_p] \bar{z}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_{m_1 \dots m_p}^{(p)} &= C'_{m_1 \dots m_p}^{(p+1)} - p C'_{[m_1 \dots m_{p-1}}^{(p-1)} B'_{m_p] \bar{z}} \\ &\quad - p(p-1) C'_{[m_1 \dots m_{p-2} z}^{(p-1)} B'_{m_{p-1} \bar{z}} \frac{g'_{m_p] \bar{z}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In the following, the relation between the above T-duality transformations and the pre-existing ones [8] will be clarified. In any field theory, one has always some freedom to redefine the set of fields and couplings one is interested in. This is precisely what happens in

D-brane effective actions. The set of RR gauge potentials describing the coupling of the brane to the background is not unique. In particular, there are two bases of RR fields used in the literature : the one appearing in kappa symmetric D-brane actions, which is the one used along the whole paper, and a second one with very specific transformation properties under S-duality transformations (denoted by a superindex S in the following). For instance, the 4-form $C_{(4)}$ is not S self-dual, but transforms to $C_{(4)} - C_{(2)} \wedge B_{(2)}$. It is $C_{(4)}^S = C_{(4)} - \frac{1}{2} C_{(2)} \wedge B_{(2)}$, the one being S self-dual. The same happens for the 6-form, $C_{(6)}^S = C_{(6)} - \frac{1}{4} C_{(2)} \wedge B_{(2)} \wedge B_{(2)}$, where $C_{(6)}^S$ forms an S-doublet with the dual to the NS-NS 2-form $B_{(2)}$ [8]. It is straightforward to verify the equivalence between equations (21), (22) and the pre-existing T-duality transformations using the above redefinitions. Furthermore, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_{m_1 \dots m_6}^S &= C'_{m_1 \dots m_6 \bar{z}} - 6 C'_{[m_1 \dots m_5} \frac{g'_{m_6] \bar{z}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \\ &\quad - 45 \left(C'_{[m_1} - C'_z \frac{g'_{[m_1 \bar{z}}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \right) B'_{m_2 m_3} B'_{m_4 m_5} B'_{m_6] \bar{z}} \\ &\quad - 45 C'_{[m_1 m_2 \bar{z}} B'_{m_3 m_4} \left(B'_{m_5 m_6} - 4 B'_{m_5 \bar{z}} \frac{g'_{m_6] \bar{z}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \right) \\ &\quad - 30 C'_{[m_1 \dots m_4 \bar{z}} B'_{m_5 \bar{z}} \frac{g'_{m_6] \bar{z}}{g'_{z\bar{z}}} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which was not computed in the calculations done in [8].

T-duality and global symmetries. The equations determining the whole set of non-trivial global symmetries of D-pbrane effective actions is not known for arbitrary p, although they have been derived, using cohomological methods, for the bosonic D-string ($p = 1$) in [9]. It is nevertheless known that among this set one can always find the isometries of the background for appropriate transformations of the gauge field [10] :

$$\Delta x^M = k^M, \quad \Delta V_\mu = \lambda_M \partial_\mu x^M \quad (24)$$

where k^M and λ_M satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k g &= \mathcal{L}_k \phi = 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_k B &= d\lambda \\ \mathcal{L}_k C_{(p+1)} &= d\omega_{(p)} - \omega_{(p-2)} \wedge dB \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for some set $\{\omega_{(p)}\}$.

The purpose of the present section is to show how the symmetries (5), (6) and (7) of the partially gauge fixed truncated action described by the generalized worldvolume BRST operator, when the global ones are restricted to solutions of (25) are mapped under T-duality to symmetries of the T-dual brane. It should be stressed that this is not the same as saying that all symmetries of the longitudinal T-dual D-brane action are already contained in the set of symmetries of the original D-brane.

It is trivial to see that the gauge symmetries described by the BRST operator $(\xi^{\tilde{\mu}}, \tilde{c})$ are mapped to the corresponding gauge symmetries of the dual field theory as it corresponds to any dimensional reduction. Concerning the global symmetries, it would be interesting to find the corresponding k'^M , λ'_M and $\{\omega'_{(p)}\}$ for the dual brane in the dual background, satisfying analogous equations to those appearing in (25). Studying the different components of the equations in (25), and using (14), one can map the initial generalized Killing equations (25) to the necessary ones for the dual brane if the following identifications are made :

$$\begin{aligned} k'^m &= \tilde{k}^m, & k'^{\tilde{z}} &= \tilde{\lambda}_z \\ \lambda'_m &= \tilde{\lambda}_m, & \lambda'_{\tilde{z}} &= \tilde{k}^z \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_{(p)} &= (-1)^{(p+1)} \left(i_{\partial_z} \tilde{\omega}_{(p+1)} - \frac{i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{zz}} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{(p-1)}^- \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i_{\partial_z} B' \wedge \frac{i_{\partial_z} g'}{g'_{zz}} \wedge i_{\partial_z} \tilde{\omega}_{(p-1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where it should be stressed that $\{\tilde{k}^m, \tilde{k}^z, \tilde{\lambda}_m, \tilde{\lambda}_z, \tilde{\omega}_{(p)}\}$ are the solutions to (25) subject to the constraint $\partial_z \Delta \phi^i = 0$ and (27) is defined up to a total derivative. It is essential for this procedure to work that $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\rho}(e^{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \omega) = 0$, which is satisfied by hypothesis.

To sum up, the infinitesimal transformations for the dual D-brane in the dual background are given by

$$\tilde{s}x^m = \xi^{\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{\tilde{\nu}} x^m + k'^m \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{s}\tilde{z} = \xi^{\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{\tilde{\nu}} \tilde{z} + k'^{\tilde{z}} \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{s}V_{\tilde{\mu}} = \xi^{\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{\tilde{\nu}} V_{\tilde{\mu}} + V_{\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \xi^{\tilde{\nu}} + \partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{c} + \frac{\lambda'_M}{2\pi\alpha'} \partial_{\tilde{\mu}} x^M \quad (30)$$

A couple of remarks concerning the above set of transformations : (i) the term involving ϵ' in (7) was absorbed into $\tilde{\lambda}_z$, without loss of generality as the latter is mapped to $k'^{\tilde{z}}$ by eq. (26) and the dual background is independent of the $\tilde{z}$ coordinate, and (ii) to derive (30), $\tilde{c}$ has been redefined without loss of generality.

To get a better understanding of the above result, let us consider a Dp -brane propagating in a Dp -brane background. The algebra of global symmetries contains $ISO(1, p) \times SO(9 - p)$ generated by the corresponding Killing vectors $\{k^m, k^z\}$ ². If we now consider a longitudinal T-duality transformation, the conditions that were demanded to the infinitesimal global transformations, $\partial_z \Delta \phi^i = 0$, break the isometry group into $ISO(1, p - 1) \times SO(9 - p) \times R$, generated by $\{\tilde{k}^m, \tilde{k}^z\}$, where the abelian factor R is common of dimensional reductions. Since $B_{(2)} = 0$, the one form $\lambda_{(1)}$ in (25) is

exact, $\lambda_{(1)} = d\beta$. Once more, the consistency conditions breaking the isometry group, restrict the function β to be of the form $\beta = az + b + \tilde{\beta}(x^m)$. It is precisely in this way how $\tilde{\lambda}_z = a$ generates the abelian isometry for the dual background through (26). The final isometry group is the one of a delocalised $D(p - 1)$ -brane, which is the one obtained when using (14), and defers from the usual isometry group of a $D(p - 1)$ -brane classical supergravity solution, which is $ISO(1, p - 1) \times SO(10 - p)$.

In relation with the results presented in [9,11], where it was shown that the D-string has an infinite number of global symmetries, one can indeed conclude from the discussion presented above that the $D0$ -brane (particle) also admits an infinite number of them, as was already pointed out in [12].

Acknowledgements . JS would like to thank Joaquim Gomis and David Mateos for helpful discussions and to Joaquim Gomis for suggesting him the problem and encouraging him. This work has been partially supported by AEN98-0431 (CICYT) and 1998SGR 00026 (CIRIT).

-
- [1] L. Brink, M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B198** (1982) 474. K. Kikkawa and M. Yamasaki, Phys. Lett. **B149** (1984) 357. N. Sakai and I. Senda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **75** (1984) 692. A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rep. **244** (1994) 77, hep-th/9401139.
 - [2] E. Bergshoeff and M. De Roo, Phys. Lett. **B380** (1996) 265. M. B. Green, C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B382** (1996) 65.
 - [3] E. Bergshoeff, C. M. Hull and T. Ortín, Nucl. Phys. **B451** (1995) 547.
 - [4] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B373** (1992) 630. E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J. F. L. Barbón and Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. **B415** (1994) 71. E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaumé and Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **41** (1995) 1.
 - [5] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724.
 - [6] P. Horava, Phys. Lett. **B231** (1989) 251. J. Dai, R. G. Leigh and J. Polchinski, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2073. J. Polchinski, TASI Lectures on D-Branes, hep-th/9611050.
 - [7] C. M. Hull, JHEP 9807 (1998) 021. C. M. Hull, JHEP 9811 (1998) 017.
 - [8] E. Eyras, B. Janssen and Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. **B531** (1998) 275.
 - [9] F. Brandt, J. Gomis and J. Simón, Phys. Lett. **B419** (1998) 148.
 - [10] E. Bergshoeff and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B531** (1998) 226.
 - [11] F. Brandt, J. Gomis and J. Simón, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1770.
 - [12] F. Brandt, J. Gomis, D. Mateos and J. Simón, Phys. Lett. **B443** (1998) 147.

² Here we use the notation used in the rest of the paper. It should be understood that $\{k^m\} = \{k^\perp, k^\parallel\}$, where $\parallel = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$, $\perp = p + 1, \dots, 9$ and $k^z = k^p$.